festivals which had hitherto been held, example, ln third month Athyr would henceforth be held the second month Phaophi; the festivals which had hitherto fallen the fourth month Khoiak would thenceforth fall the ın third month Athyr; and so on. Thus the festal calendar would be reduced to harmony with the seasons Instead being in more or less flagrant discord them, with had it as generally been before, and must always have been afterwards if the change which have indicated had not been Introduced. It Is only to credit the astronomers the Roman rulers of Egypt with common that they actually adopted the measure. supposition that we can perfectly understand why the festival of sowing, which had formerly belonged to the month of Khoiak, was transferred to Athvr. For the in Alexandrian calendar Khoiak very corresponds nearly December, Athyr and November. But in Egypt the month of November, not the month of December, , is the season of sowing. There was therefore every reason why the great festival of sowing the corn-god Osiris should be held in Athyr not Khoiak. November and not in December. In like manner we suppose that all the Egyptian festivals restored to their true places in the solar year, and that Plutarch dates when a festival both by its calendar month and by its relation to

firm my theory that, with the adoption corresponding names of the months were
of the fixed Alexandrian year, the dates backwards. It is
of the official Egyptian festivals were true that this displacement can as yet shifted from their accidental places in months; but as the calendar to their proper places in the names of these

months and the the natural year. festivals keep their relative position Since I published in the first edition towards each other, the assumption is of this book (1906) my theory that inevitable that the displacement affected with the adoption of the fixed Alexnot merely particular festivals but the andrian year in 30 B.C. the Egyptian whole system equally." Ed. festivals were shifted about a month Meyer, Nachtriige zur agyptischen backward in the year, Professor Ed. Chronologic (Berlin, 1908), pp. 3 sqq.
Meyer has shown independent grounds (Abhandlungen der konigL Preuss. for holding "that the festivals which Akademie der Wissemchaften vom gave rise to the later names of the Jahre 1907}. Thus it is possible that (Egyptian) months were demonstrably the displacement of the festivals by a held a month later in earlier ages, month backward in the calendar took under the twentieth, eighteenth, indeed place a good deal earlier than I had partly under the twelfth dynasty; in supposed. uncertainty of the other words, that after the end of the whole question I leave my theory as it New Kingdom the festivals and the stood.